

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,288	03/06/2002	Randall S. Knipp	13212.111	13212.111 7774	
24283 75	590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
PATTON BOGGS		FISHER, MICHAEL J			
1660 LINCOLI SUITE 2050	N ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO 80264		3629			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/092,288	KNIPP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael J. Fisher	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "anon-demand" in line 1 would appear to be meant to be, 'an on-demand', the examiner will assume this in examination. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said plurality of social expression product" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected as depending from a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PAT 6,076,652 to Head, III (Head).

As to claims 1,30, Head discloses a production system for the production of customized orders (col 12, lines 17-44) comprising: a plurality of manufacturing means (assembly lines being a plurality of manufacturing means), means for automatically activating one of the manufacturing means to implement each step in the multi-step manufacturing process (col 12, lines 45-53).

Head does not, however, means for receiving orders. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to take orders from customers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include means for taking orders from customers to enable the manufacturer to sell products to customers.

As to claim 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include these apparatuses as the system could be used to produce "social expression products" (greeting cards/printed stock) and further, Head discloses the system as being able to control a typewriter (col 12, line 57- col 13, line 4).

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 2,3,18,31,32 Head, discloses means connected to and interconnecting the manufacturing means/modules (the assembly line) that transports partially completed pieces (inherent in an assembly line as the pieces are added to at each stop and only completed at the end of the line).

As to claims 4,33, it would be inherent that there would be means for identifying a plurality of manufacturing means necessary for completion of an order and the method used else the order could not be completed.

As to claims 5,34, it would be inherent that the manufacturing means include means to perform the function else the manufacturing means could not manufacture its stage of the product.

As to claim 6 it would be inherent that there is a means for accepting raw materials else the manufacture means would not have material from which to manufacture the product. Further, Head discloses means

As to claims 7,35,36 Head discloses means for registering that the manufacture means have received the material (24, as best seen in fig 1) in proper orientation (fig 3C, 104,108,109).

As to claims 8,37, Head does not disclose a printer, emboss apparatus, scoring apparatus, stock cutting apparatus or a stock folding apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include these apparatuses as the system could be used to produce "social expression products" (greeting cards) and further, Head discloses the system as being able to control a typewriter (col 12, line 57- col 13, line 4).

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 9,38, the amount aggregated would be the amount ordered.

As to claims 10,39, the examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the art to package completed merchandise for shipping. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for packaging the finished product for shipping to protect the product from getting dirty or being damaged during shipping.

As to claims 11,40, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to take orders via the telephone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the telephone to take orders to allow the customer to speak to a person so as to try to avoid errors.

As to claims 12,41, as the system is discloses as being for customized products (as discussed in relation to claims 1,30), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the customer to choose customized services as this is what the system is designed to do.

As to claims 13, the design of the finished product would be that which defines which manufacture means to use.

As to claims 14,42, as there is disclosed a means (computer) for controlling the process (as discussed in relation to claims 1,30), it would be inherent that there is means to give the computer direction and computers accept direction in data files (computer files).

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 15,43,44, as the manufacture means are disclosed as being activated selectively (as discussed in relation to claims 1,30), the system would inherently have a means responsive to orders to selectively activate the manufacture means and a means to generate such files.

As to claims 17, it would be inherent that the product produced must be identified else the finished product would not be correct.

As to claim 19, if the finished product is to be printed stock, it would be inherent that the work piece be printable stock.

As to claim 20, Head discloses assuring that the workpiece is loaded correctly (104,108,109, fig 3C).

As to claim 21, Head, discloses means connected to and interconnecting the manufacturing means (the assembly line) that transports partially completed pieces (inherent in an assembly line as the pieces are added to at each stop and only completed at the end of the line) the predetermined order that which will produce the finished product properly.

As to claim 22, Head does not disclose a printer, emboss apparatus, scoring apparatus, stock cutting apparatus or a stock folding apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include these apparatuses as the system could be used to produce "social expression products" (greeting cards) and further, Head discloses the system as being able to control a typewriter (col 12, line 57- col 13, line 4).

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 23, the examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the art to package completed merchandise for shipping. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for preparing the product for packaging so as to package the product to protect the product from getting dirty or being damaged during shipping.

As to claim 24, the examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the art to package completed merchandise for shipping. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for packaging the finished product for shipping to protect the product from getting dirty or being damaged during shipping.

As to claim 25, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to take orders via the telephone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the telephone to take orders to allow the customer to speak to a person so as to try to avoid errors.

As to claim 26, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known to take orders over the Internet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow a user to use a display (computer monitor) to order products to allow the manufacturer to take orders over the Internet.

As to claim 27, as there is disclosed a means (computer) for controlling the process (as discussed in relation to claims 1,30), it would be inherent that there is

Application/Control Number: 10/092,288 Page 8

Art Unit: 3629

means to give the computer direction and computers accept direction in data files (computer files). These would inherently be "uploaded".

As to claims 28,29, as the manufacture means are disclosed as being activated selectively (as discussed in relation to claims 1,30), the system would inherently have a means responsive to orders to selectively activate the manufacture means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Fisher whose telephone number is 571-272-6804. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Fisher

Satent Examiner

GAU 3629

MF/// 12/10/05